

A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON TOOTH-SUPPORTED OVERDENTURES

**Ali abdullah alharbi¹, Faisal Abdulrahman Saad Alkharisi² Abdulmuhsen Saad Jafel³,
Wejdan Ahmed Aljamaan⁴, Hatem Khaled Alahmary⁵, Aisha Matar Alshebani⁶, Ibrahim
Abdullah Alrashed⁷, Fatimah Ahmed Al Nashery⁸, Latifah fadhel Alshameri⁹, Reem
Matar Alshebani¹⁰**

1. General Dentist, West Riyadh Dental Clinics Complex, Saudi Arabia,
Aalharbi582@moh.gov.sa
2. General Dentist, West Riyadh dental complex, Saudi Arabia,
Dr.faisalalkhourisi@gmail.com
3. General Dentist, West Riyadh dental complex, Saudi Arabia, Dr.abdulsaad@gmail.com
4. Dental assistant, West Riyadh Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia, Waaljamaan@moh.gov.sa
5. Dental specialist, West Riyadh Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia,
hkalahmary@moh.gov.sa
6. Dental assistant, West Riyadh Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia, aalshebani@moh.gov.sa
7. Dental specialist, West Riyadh Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia, iaalrashed@moh.gov.sa
8. Dental Assistant, West Riyadh Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia, Che201@hotmail.com
9. Dental assistant, Almansorah Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia, lalshameri@moh.gov.sa
10. Dental Assistant, West Riyadh Dental Complex, Saudi Arabia,
Reema21211@hotmail.com

Abstract

Tooth-supported overdentures have been a cornerstone of prosthodontics for decades, offering an alternative to traditional complete dentures and implant-supported prostheses. By retaining natural teeth or their roots, overdentures preserve alveolar bone, improve masticatory efficiency, and enhance patient satisfaction. However, advancements in materials, techniques, and attachment systems have revolutionized their design and application. This article explores the historical context, clinical advantages, challenges, and future prospects of tooth-supported overdentures. Emphasis is placed on patient selection, attachment options, and long-term outcomes, supported by evidence from contemporary literature. By revisiting the concept of tooth-supported overdentures, this review highlights their enduring relevance in addressing diverse prosthodontic needs.

Introduction

Tooth loss has far-reaching consequences, impacting oral functionality, aesthetics, and psychosocial well-being (Saintrain & de Souza, 2012). While complete dentures are a conventional solution for edentulism, they often fail to meet the expectations of patients due to instability, reduced masticatory efficiency, and compromised aesthetics. Tooth-supported overdentures, first introduced as a preventive prosthodontic measure, offer a middle ground between conventional dentures and implant-supported prostheses (Morrow et al., 1969).

This treatment modality has gained renewed attention due to its ability to preserve natural teeth, maintain alveolar bone, and improve patient satisfaction. Despite advancements in implant dentistry, tooth-supported overdentures continue to hold a significant place in dental practice, particularly for patients with medical, financial, or anatomical constraints that preclude implant therapy. This article revisits this enduring approach, examining its clinical benefits, challenges, and innovations to provide a comprehensive perspective.

Historical Context and Evolution

The concept of tooth-supported overdentures can be traced back to the 19th century, where natural teeth were retained to stabilize removable prostheses. However, it was not until the mid-20th century that this approach gained widespread acceptance as a preventive strategy to address bone resorption and improve denture retention. Morrow et al. (1969) were among the first to formalize the principles of tooth-supported overdentures, highlighting their role in maintaining alveolar bone and periodontal ligament function.

This period also saw the introduction of root copings, which provided a protective covering for retained roots, and attachments that enhanced prosthetic retention and stability. Over time, the evolution of materials and techniques—such as the use of cast metal frameworks, precision attachments, and telescopic crowns—greatly improved the functionality and aesthetics of overdentures (Henking, 1982).

Recent decades have witnessed further advancements, including digital workflows and bioactive materials that enhance the longevity and biocompatibility of prosthetic components (Carvalho & Lussi, 2017). These developments have revitalized interest in tooth-supported overdentures, ensuring their continued relevance in modern prosthodontic practice.

Clinical Advantages of Tooth-Supported Overdentures

1. Preservation of Alveolar Bone

A major advantage of tooth-supported overdentures is their ability to preserve alveolar bone. Unlike complete dentures, which often accelerate bone resorption due to the lack of functional stimulation, overdentures retain natural roots, maintaining the periodontal ligament and stimulating the surrounding bone (Crum & Rooney, 1978). Studies have demonstrated significantly lower rates of mandibular bone loss in patients fitted with overdentures compared to those using complete dentures (Van Waas et al., 1993).

2. Improved Proprioception and Masticatory Efficiency

The retention of natural teeth also preserves oral proprioception, allowing patients to perceive forces and textures during mastication. This sensory feedback significantly improves masticatory efficiency and bite force, enhancing dietary choices and nutritional intake (Mericske-Stern, 1994). Patients often report greater ease in chewing and a more natural eating experience compared to complete denture wearers (Boven et al., 2015).

3. Psychological and Aesthetic Benefits

The psychological impact of retaining natural teeth cannot be overstated. For many patients, the loss of teeth is associated with aging and diminished self-esteem. Tooth-supported overdentures address these concerns by preserving the natural dentition, offering a sense of continuity and improved aesthetics (Jablonski & Barber, 2015). Additionally, the use of attachments and precision systems ensures that overdentures are both functional and visually appealing.

4. Cost-Effectiveness and Accessibility

For patients who are unable to afford implant-supported overdentures, tooth-supported overdentures provide a more affordable alternative. They eliminate the need for surgical interventions such as implant placement, making them accessible to a broader demographic (Ettinger et al., 2022). Furthermore, they are particularly suitable for medically compromised patients who may not be candidates for implant therapy due to systemic health conditions or insufficient bone volume (Nabil & Samman, 2011).

5. Enhanced Longevity of Prostheses

By distributing occlusal forces across retained abutments, tooth-supported overdentures reduce the stress on the prosthetic base, minimizing wear and extending the lifespan of the prosthesis (Berger et al., 2020). This makes them a durable option for long-term use.

Challenges and Limitations

Despite their numerous benefits, tooth-supported overdentures are not without challenges. These include:

1. Abutment Tooth Loss

The success of tooth-supported overdentures is contingent upon the health of the retained abutments. Abutment loss due to caries, periodontal disease, or endodontic failure remains a significant concern (Ettinger & Qian, 2004). Studies have shown that the loss of abutment teeth can compromise the stability and retention of the overdenture, necessitating additional interventions (Mercouriadis-Howald et al., 2018).

2. Maintenance and Hygiene

Tooth-supported overdentures require meticulous oral hygiene to prevent complications such as caries, periodontal inflammation, and attachment wear. Patients must commit to regular dental visits for maintenance and repairs, which can be challenging for those with limited dexterity or access to care (Shaw, 1984).

3. Technical Complexity

The fabrication of tooth-supported overdentures involves precise planning and execution. Techniques such as precision attachments, root copings, and telescopic crowns require specialized skills and equipment, increasing the complexity of treatment (Jayasree et al., 2012). Additionally, improper design or fabrication can lead to complications such as abutment overload and prosthetic failure.

4. Risk of Overloading Abutments

While retaining natural teeth helps preserve bone, improper occlusal design or excessive forces can lead to localized bone loss and abutment failure. This underscores the importance of careful treatment planning and load distribution (Berger et al., 2020).

Attachment Systems for Tooth-Supported Overdentures

The choice of attachment system is critical in determining the success of tooth-supported overdentures. Commonly used systems include:

1. Precision Attachments

These attachments provide superior retention and aesthetics, making them a popular choice among patients with high functional and cosmetic demands. However, they require meticulous fabrication and regular maintenance (Thomas et al., 2014).

2. Magnetic Attachments

Magnetic systems offer ease of use and are particularly beneficial for elderly patients or those with limited dexterity. However, their retention strength may diminish over time due to wear and corrosion (Boeckler et al., 2008).

3. Telescopic Crowns

Telescopic crowns provide excellent retention and facilitate even distribution of occlusal forces. They are particularly useful in cases with multiple abutments but are more expensive and technically challenging to fabricate (Seo & Cho, 2020).

4. Bar Attachments

Bar attachments enhance stability by connecting multiple abutments. They are ideal for patients with extensive bone loss or compromised abutments, although they require precise alignment and regular maintenance (Mosharraf et al., 2014).

Advances in Tooth-Supported Overdentures

Recent advancements have significantly improved the design, functionality, and clinical outcomes of tooth-supported overdentures:

1. Digital Dentistry

The integration of digital workflows, including CAD/CAM technology, has revolutionized the fabrication of overdentures. Digital design ensures a precise fit, reducing chairside adjustments and improving patient comfort (Stalder et al., 2021).

2. High-Performance Materials

Modern materials such as zirconia, fiber-reinforced composites, and bioactive cements have enhanced the durability and aesthetics of overdentures. These materials also reduce the risk of wear and caries in abutment teeth (Carvalho & Lussi, 2017).

3. Minimally Invasive Techniques

Minimally invasive approaches, such as adhesive restorations and root surface treatments, have simplified the preparation of abutments, reducing treatment time and preserving natural tooth structure (Shankar et al., 2013).

4. Smart Prosthetic Systems

Emerging smart technologies, including sensor-equipped attachments, allow for real-time monitoring of occlusal forces and wear. These systems enable early detection of complications, improving long-term outcomes.

Clinical Considerations and Patient Selection

The success of tooth-supported overdentures depends on careful patient selection and treatment planning. Key factors include:

1. Abutment Health

Candidates must have healthy abutment teeth with adequate periodontal support. Endodontically treated teeth can also be retained if properly restored (Mercouriadis-Howald et al., 2018).

2. Patient Motivation

Successful outcomes require patients to adhere to strict oral hygiene protocols and attend regular follow-ups. Patient motivation and compliance play a critical role in preventing complications (Shaw, 1984).

3. Financial Considerations

Tooth-supported overdentures are a cost-effective option compared to implant-supported prostheses, making them accessible to a wider range of patients. However, the cost of attachments and maintenance should be considered during treatment planning (Ettinger et al., 2022).

Future Directions

Tooth-supported overdentures continue to evolve, with several promising innovations on the horizon:

1. Regenerative Techniques

Advancements in tissue engineering and stem cell therapy may enable the regeneration of lost alveolar bone and periodontal tissues, enhancing the viability of tooth-supported overdentures (Carvalho & Lussi, 2017).

2. Artificial Intelligence

AI-driven diagnostic tools and treatment planning software can optimize outcomes by analyzing patient data and predicting long-term success (Stalder et al., 2021).

3. Personalized Prosthetics

The integration of 3D printing and bioprinting technologies allows for the creation of highly customized prosthetic components, improving fit, function, and aesthetics.

Conclusion

Tooth-supported overdentures remain a valuable option in prosthodontics, offering a cost-effective, functional, and aesthetically pleasing solution for edentulous patients. By preserving natural teeth and alveolar bone, they address many of the limitations associated with traditional dentures. Recent advancements in attachment systems, materials, and digital workflows have further enhanced their clinical performance and patient satisfaction. As the field continues to evolve, tooth-supported overdentures are poised to remain an integral component of preventive prosthodontics, meeting the diverse needs of patients worldwide.

References:

- Berger, C. H., Arnold, C., Stalder, A. K., Weber, A., Abou-Ayash, S., & Schimmel, M. (2020). Root-retained overdentures: Survival of abutment teeth with precision attachments on root caps depends on overdenture design. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 47(12), 1254–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.13060>
- Boeckler, A. F., Morton, D., Ehring, C., & Setz, J. M. (2008). Mechanical properties of magnetic attachments for removable prostheses on teeth and implants. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 17(8), 608–615. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2008.00356.x>
- Boven, G. C., Raghoobar, G. M., Vissink, A., & Meijer, H. J. A. (2015). Improving masticatory performance, bite force, nutritional state and patient satisfaction with implant overdentures: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 42(3), 220–233. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12241>
- Carvalho, T. S., & Lussi, A. (2017). Age-related morphological, histological and functional changes in teeth. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 44(4), 291–298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12474>
- Crum, R. J., & Rooney, G. E. Jr. (1978). Alveolar bone loss in overdentures: A 5-year study. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 40(6), 610–613. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(78\)90054-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(78)90054-9)
- Ettinger, R. L., & Qian, F. (2004). Abutment tooth loss in patients with overdentures. *Journal of the American Dental Association*, 135(6), 739–746. <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2004.0300>
- Ettinger, R. L., Marchini, L., & Childs, C. A. (2022). Are root-supported overdentures still an alternative to implant-supported overdentures? A scoping review. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 31(7), 655–662. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopr.13498>
- Henking, J. P. (1982). Overdentures. *Journal of Dentistry*, 10(3), 217–225. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712\(82\)90053-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-5712(82)90053-7)
- Jablonski, R. Y., & Barber, M. W. (2015). Restorative dentistry for the older patient cohort. *British Dental Journal*, 218(6), 337–342. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.197>
- Jayasree, K., Bharathi, M., Nag, V. D., & Vinod, B. (2012). Precision attachment: Retained overdenture. *Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society*, 12(1), 59–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13191-011-0100-y>
- Keltjens, H. M. A. M., Creugers, T. J., van't Hof, M. A., & Creugers, N. H. J. (1999). A 4-year clinical study on amalgam, resin composite and resin-modified glass ionomer cement restorations

- in overdenture abutments. *Journal of Dentistry*, 27(8), 551–555. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712\(99\)00032-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-5712(99)00032-9)
- Mericske-Stern, R. (1994). Oral tactile sensibility recorded in overdenture wearers with implants or natural roots: A comparative study. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 9(1), 63–70.
- Morrow, R. M., Feldmann, E. E., Rudd, K. D., & Trovillion, H. M. (1969). Tooth-supported complete dentures: An approach to preventive prosthodontics. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 21(5), 513–522. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(69\)90073-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(69)90073-0)
- Mosharraf, R., Abolhasani, M., & Givehchian, P. (2014). A technique for relining bar-retained overdentures. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 112(6), 1591–1594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2013.06.027>
- Nabil, S., & Samman, N. (2011). Incidence and prevention of osteoradionecrosis after dental extraction in irradiated patients: A systematic review. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 40(3), 229–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2010.10.005>
- Saintrain, M. V., & de Souza, E. H. (2012). Impact of tooth loss on the quality of life. *Gerodontology*, 29(e632–e636). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00535.x>
- Seo, J. G., & Cho, J. H. (2020). Clinical outcomes of rigid and non-rigid telescopic double-crown-retained removable dental prostheses: An analytical review. *Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics*, 12(1), 38–48. <https://doi.org/10.4047/jap.2020.12.1.38>
- Shaw, M. J. (1984). Attachment-retained overdentures: A report on their maintenance requirements. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 11(4), 373–379. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.1984.tb00588.x>
- Shankar, Y. R., Srinivas, K., Surapaneni, H., & Reddy, S. V. (2013). Prosthodontic treatment using vital and non-vital submerged roots—two case reports. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 7(10), 2396–2399. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2013/6192.3537>
- Stalder, A., Berger, C. H., Buser, R., Wittneben, J., Schimmel, M., & Abou-Ayash, S. (2021). Biological and technical complications in root cap-retained overdentures after 3–15 years in situ: A retrospective clinical study. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 25(4), 2325–2333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-020-03555-3>
- Thomas, M. B. M., Willian, G., & Addy, L. D. (2014). Precision attachments in partial removable prosthodontics: An update for the practitioner. *Dental Update*, 41(8), 725–731. <https://doi.org/10.12968/denu.2014.41.8.725>
- Van Waas, M. A., Jonkman, R. E., Kalk, W., Van 't Hof, M. A., Plooi, J., & Van Os, J. H. (1993). Differences two years after tooth extraction in mandibular bone reduction in patients treated with immediate overdentures or with immediate complete dentures. *Journal of Dental Research*, 72(6), 1001–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345930720060101>
- Zarb, G. A., Jacob, R. F., & Zarb, J. P. (2004). Overdentures. In *Prosthodontic treatment for edentulous patients: Complete dentures and implant-supported prostheses* (13th ed., pp. 176–200). Mosby.