INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING REVIEW
ISSN:1474-6743 | E-ISSN:1478-3401

ENDODONTIC IRRIGANTS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Manal Mohammed Aljamal1, jamelah othman alrasasmah2, nassrah mousa al dahi3, Azhar nasser alkaway4, Gadeer Ali Aleid5, walla abdulkareem althawab6, nouf nawaf alharbi7, Sana Abduallah Almutairi 8, Hatim Al Brikeet9, Shuaa fahad alhussan10

1. General Dentist, Alsaadah PHC, Saudi Arabia, Mmhj865@gmail.com
2. Dental Hygienist, Al-Faisaliah PHC, Saudi Arabia, jalrasasmah@moh.gov.sa
3. Dental Hygienist, Al-manar PHC, Saudi Arabia, naldahi@moh.gov.sa
4. Dental Hygienist, Alkhalij PHC, Saudi Arabia, aalkaway@moh.gov.sa
5. Dental Hygienist, Al rawdah Phc, Saudi Arabia, Galeid@moh.gov.sa
6. Dental Hygienist, Bader PHC, Saudi Arabia, Walaa.a.h.a@hotmail.com
7. Dental Assistant, Alshifa PHC, Saudi Arabia, Salmutairi58@moh.gov.sa
8. Dental Assistant, Bader PHC, Saudi Arabia, Dr.alshalhoub@gmail.com
9. Consultant Restorative Dentist, Ministry of health, Riyadh first cluster (C1), Saudi Arabia, drhatim@msn.com
10. Family dentist, Dental Clinics Complex West of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Dr.shuaa_h@hotmail.com

Abstract

Effective root canal irrigation is a critical component of endodontic therapy, aiming to achieve thorough disinfection, debris removal, and smear layer elimination. While mechanical instrumentation is essential, it cannot fully clean the complex anatomy of root canal systems, necessitating the use of irrigants with complementary chemical properties. This review explores the characteristics, mechanisms of action, advantages, limitations, and clinical applications of the most commonly used endodontic irrigants: sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), chlorhexidine (CHX), and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Sodium hypochlorite remains the gold standard due to its dual ability to dissolve organic tissue and eliminate microorganisms, but it is limited by its cytotoxicity and inability to remove the inorganic smear layer. Chlorhexidine, known for its antimicrobial substantivity and biocompatibility, serves as an effective adjunct but lacks tissue-dissolving properties and forms harmful precipitates when combined with NaOCl. EDTA, as a chelating agent, is indispensable for removing the inorganic smear layer, improving dentinal tubule permeability, and enhancing sealer adhesion, though prolonged use can weaken dentin. Emerging alternatives, such as herbal irrigants, peracetic acid, and photodynamic therapy, are also discussed as potential solutions to address the limitations of current irrigants. Advances in delivery systems, including passive ultrasonic irrigation and laser-activated irrigation, further enhance the efficacy of these agents. This comprehensive review underscores the importance of selecting and combining irrigants judiciously to optimize root canal disinfection while minimizing adverse effects, contributing to improved clinical outcomes in endodontic practice.

Introduction

Endodontic irrigation is a cornerstone of successful root canal therapy. While mechanical instrumentation significantly reduces the microbial load and removes necrotic tissue, it cannot entirely clean the root canal system due to the complexity of root canal anatomy. Consequently, chemical irrigants are essential for eliminating bacteria, dissolving organic tissue, and removing the smear layer, all of which contribute to the success of endodontic treatment. This review explores the commonly used irrigants, their mechanisms of action, advantages, limitations, and recent advancements in the field.

The Role and Objectives of Endodontic Irrigation

The primary objective of endodontic irrigation is to decontaminate the root canal system. Irrigants supplement mechanical instrumentation by reaching areas that files and rotary instruments cannot access, such as lateral canals, isthmuses, and apical deltas (Siqueira et al., 1996). They play a vital role in dissolving necrotic tissue remnants, eliminating microorganisms, preventing biofilm formation, and removing the smear layer created during instrumentation. Effective irrigation also helps reduce postoperative pain and inflammation by reducing bacterial endotoxins and tissue debris within the canal (Schilder, 1974; Mokhtari et al., 2023).

Despite advancements in endodontic techniques, the complexity of root canal anatomy and the resilience of microbial biofilms remain significant challenges. Studies have shown that even with thorough mechanical preparation, 35% or more of the canal surface may remain untouched by instruments (Peters et al., 2001). Therefore, the use of potent chemical irrigants is indispensable for achieving optimal disinfection and cleaning.

Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl)

Introduction

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is widely regarded as the gold standard in endodontic irrigation due to its excellent antimicrobial and tissue-dissolving properties. It has been a cornerstone of root canal therapy for decades and remains the most commonly used irrigant in clinical practice. Sodium hypochlorite was first introduced in dentistry by Walker in 1936 and has since been extensively studied for its effectiveness in eliminating microorganisms and dissolving organic tissue (Walker, 1936; Schilder, 1974). Despite its undeniable advantages, NaOCl is not without limitations, including cytotoxicity, unpleasant odor and taste, and its inability to remove the smear layer without adjunctive agents (Xu et al., 2022; Mokhtari et al., 2023). This section provides a detailed examination of NaOCl, including its mechanisms of action, advantages, limitations, clinical applications, and strategies to enhance its efficacy.

Mechanism of Action

The antimicrobial and tissue-dissolving effects of NaOCl stem from its ability to release hypochlorous acid (HOCl) when dissolved in water. Hypochlorous acid disrupts the bacterial cell wall by oxidizing key components such as proteins and lipids, ultimately leading to cell lysis and death (Xu et al., 2022). Additionally, NaOCl reacts with amino acids in necrotic tissue, breaking down proteins into smaller peptides and dissolving organic matter. This dual mechanism of action enables NaOCl to address both the microbial and organic components of root canal infections, which is critical for achieving effective disinfection in anatomically complex canal systems (Mokhtari et al., 2023).

NaOCl is particularly effective against *Enterococcus faecalis*, a facultative anaerobe frequently implicated in persistent endodontic infections. Studies have shown that NaOCl significantly reduces bacterial counts and disrupts biofilms, which are highly resistant to both mechanical instrumentation and traditional antimicrobial agents (Rôças & Siqueira, 2008). Its ability to dissolve biofilms and eliminate bacteria in inaccessible areas, such as isthmuses and lateral canals, makes it indispensable in modern endodontic practice.

Advantages of NaOCl

One of the primary advantages of NaOCl is its broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity. It is effective against a wide range of bacteria, fungi, viruses, and spores, making it a versatile agent for dealing with polymicrobial infections commonly found in necrotic root canals (Haapasalo et al., 2010). Unlike many other irrigants, NaOCl also has the unique ability to dissolve necrotic pulp tissue and other organic debris within the root canal system. This is particularly important in cases involving large amounts of necrotic tissue or extensive bacterial colonization.

Another advantage of NaOCl is its availability and cost-effectiveness. It is widely accessible in various concentrations, typically ranging from 0.5% to 6%, allowing clinicians to tailor its use to specific clinical situations. Lower concentrations are typically used for routine irrigation to minimize cytotoxicity, while higher concentrations may be employed for difficult cases involving extensive tissue necrosis or persistent infections (Mokhtari et al., 2023).

In addition to its primary functions, NaOCl also plays a role in reducing postoperative complications. By effectively debriding the canal and eliminating bacterial endotoxins, NaOCl minimizes the risk of postoperative pain and flare-ups, improving patient outcomes and satisfaction (Schilder, 1974).

Limitations of NaOCl

Despite its numerous advantages, NaOCl has significant limitations that must be carefully managed during clinical use. One of the most critical concerns is its cytotoxicity. NaOCl is highly toxic to periapical tissues and can cause severe damage if extruded beyond the root apex. Extrusion of NaOCl can result in a condition known as the "sodium hypochlorite accident," characterized by severe pain, swelling, ecchymosis, and tissue necrosis. In rare cases, extrusion can lead to permanent damage, including paresthesia and scarring (Hülsmann & Hahn, 2000).

Another limitation is its inability to remove the inorganic component of the smear layer. While NaOCl effectively dissolves organic tissue, the smear layer created during mechanical instrumentation contains both organic and inorganic components. The inorganic portion, primarily composed of dentin debris, cannot be removed by NaOCl alone. This necessitates the use of additional irrigants such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or citric acid to achieve complete smear layer removal (Marins et al., 2012).

NaOCl also has a strong, unpleasant odor and taste, which can be off-putting to patients. Although these characteristics do not affect its clinical efficacy, they can impact patient comfort and overall treatment experience. Additionally, NaOCl is corrosive to instruments and can damage clothing and dental equipment if not handled carefully.

Another drawback is the potential for interaction with other irrigants. For example, when NaOCl is mixed with chlorhexidine (CHX), a precipitate known as parachloroaniline (PCA) forms. This precipitate is potentially harmful and can occlude dentinal tubules, reducing the penetration of sealers and potentially compromising long-term treatment outcomes (Jeansonne & White, 1994; Sena et al., 2006).

Clinical Applications

NaOCl is used throughout various stages of root canal treatment, from initial debridement to final disinfection. Its ability to dissolve necrotic tissue makes it particularly valuable during the cleaning and shaping phase of treatment, where effective irrigation is essential for removing debris generated by mechanical instrumentation.

The concentration of NaOCl used in clinical practice varies depending on the specific requirements of the case. Higher concentrations (4%–6%) are typically used for cases involving necrotic pulps or retreatments, while lower concentrations (0.5%–2%) may be preferred in vital pulp cases to minimize cytotoxicity. Regardless of the concentration, continuous irrigation is recommended to ensure that fresh solution is always in contact with the canal walls, maximizing its efficacy (Haapasalo et al., 2010).

Enhancing the Efficacy of NaOCl

Several strategies have been developed to enhance the effectiveness of NaOCl. One approach is to heat the solution, which increases its tissue-dissolving capacity and antimicrobial activity. Studies have shown that preheating NaOCl to 37°C or higher significantly improves its ability to dissolve organic matter and kill bacteria (Mokhtari et al., 2023).

Another method is the use of adjunctive irrigation techniques, such as passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) and laser-activated irrigation (LAI). These techniques improve the penetration and distribution of NaOCl within the root canal system by creating acoustic streaming and cavitation effects. PUI, in particular, has been shown to enhance the removal of debris and biofilms in inaccessible areas, such as isthmuses and lateral canals (van der Sluis et al., 2007).

The use of surfactants has also been explored to improve the wetting ability of NaOCl. By reducing its surface tension, surfactants allow NaOCl to penetrate dentinal tubules more effectively, improving its antimicrobial and tissue-dissolving properties (Haapasalo et al., 2010).

Recent Research and Trends

Recent studies have focused on optimizing the use of NaOCl to balance its efficacy and safety. Mokhtari et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review examining the relationship between NaOCl concentration and postoperative pain. The study found that lower concentrations of NaOCl were associated with reduced postoperative pain, while higher concentrations provided superior disinfection and tissue dissolution. This highlights the need for clinicians to carefully select the concentration of NaOCl based on the clinical scenario.

Other research has explored the use of combination protocols involving NaOCl and other irrigants or techniques. For example, alternating NaOCl with EDTA has been shown to enhance smear layer removal while minimizing the risk of dentin damage (Do Prado et al., 2013). Additionally, the development of advanced irrigation devices, such as negative-pressure systems, has improved the safety of NaOCl by reducing the risk of extrusion (Hülsmann & Hahn, 2000).

Chlorhexidine (CHX)

Introduction

Chlorhexidine (CHX) is a widely used endodontic irrigant known for its potent antimicrobial properties and long-lasting effects. Unlike sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), which dissolves tissue, CHX primarily functions as an antimicrobial agent, making it an excellent adjunct or alternative in certain clinical scenarios. It was first introduced in dentistry in the 1950s and has since gained popularity due to its broad-spectrum antibacterial efficacy, substantivity, and low cytotoxicity compared to NaOCl (Jeansonne & White, 1994). While CHX is not a standalone solution for all irrigation needs, its specific benefits, limitations, and clinical applications make it a valuable tool in root canal therapy.

Mechanism of Action

Chlorhexidine is a cationic bisbiguanide that exerts its antimicrobial effects by disrupting bacterial cell membranes. It binds to negatively charged phosphate groups on bacterial cell walls, leading to increased membrane permeability and leakage of intracellular components, ultimately resulting in cell death (Sena et al., 2006). Its broad-spectrum activity includes efficacy against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and some viruses.

One of CHX's unique properties is its substantivity, which refers to its ability to adhere to dentinal tubules and canal walls, providing a prolonged antimicrobial effect even after irrigation has ceased. This feature makes CHX particularly effective in preventing bacterial recolonization and reinfection of the root canal system (Siqueira et al., 1997).

Advantages of CHX

Chlorhexidine has several advantages that make it a valuable irrigant in endodontics. First, it is effective against *Enterococcus faecalis*, a common pathogen in persistent endodontic infections that is highly resistant to conventional treatments. CHX's efficacy against *E. faecalis* makes it a useful adjunct in cases involving retreatments, necrotic pulps, or previously infected canals (Jeansonne & White, 1994).

Second, CHX is less cytotoxic compared to NaOCl, making it a safer option in cases where extrusion of the irrigant into periapical tissues is a concern. Its biocompatibility also makes it suitable for use in patients with hypersensitivity to NaOCl or when treating immature teeth with open apices.

Third, CHX does not weaken dentin structure, even with prolonged use, unlike some other irrigants. This makes it a good option for long-term disinfection protocols or cases requiring intracanal medicaments. Its substantivity ensures that the antimicrobial activity continues for up to 12 weeks, offering long-term protection against reinfection (Siqueira et al., 1997).

Limitations of CHX

Despite its advantages, CHX has several limitations that restrict its use as a primary irrigant. One of its most significant drawbacks is its inability to dissolve necrotic tissue and organic debris. Unlike NaOCl, CHX cannot remove pulp remnants or biofilm matrix, which limits its effectiveness in cases with necrotic pulp tissue or complex canal anatomy (Sena et al., 2006).

Another limitation is its interaction with NaOCl. When mixed with NaOCl, CHX forms a brown precipitate known as parachloroaniline (PCA). This precipitate is potentially toxic and can occlude dentinal tubules, compromising the adhesion of root canal sealers and negatively affecting treatment outcomes (Jeansonne & White, 1994). Therefore, clinicians must avoid using CHX immediately after NaOCl and ensure thorough rinsing with saline or distilled water between irrigants.

Additionally, CHX has limited efficacy against bacterial spores and certain strains of gram-negative bacteria. It also lacks the ability to remove the smear layer, which consists of both organic and inorganic debris. To overcome this limitation, CHX must be used in conjunction with other irrigants, such as EDTA, to achieve complete cleaning and disinfection of the root canal system.

Clinical Applications

CHX is most commonly used as an adjunctive irrigant in cases where its antimicrobial properties and substantivity are particularly beneficial. For example, it is often employed as a final rinse in retreatment cases to eliminate residual bacteria and prevent reinfection. It is also preferred for patients allergic to NaOCl or when the risk of NaOCl extrusion is high, such as in immature teeth with open apices or in cases with large periapical lesions.

CHX is frequently used in combination with EDTA to remove the smear layer while maintaining antimicrobial efficacy. This combination is particularly useful in cases requiring enhanced canal cleanliness during obturation. However, clinicians must carefully manage the sequence of irrigants to avoid the formation of PCA.

CHX is also used as an intracanal medicament, either alone or in combination with calcium hydroxide. This is especially effective in multi-visit treatments where the antimicrobial substantivity of CHX helps maintain a sterile environment between appointments (Siqueira et al., 1997).

Enhancing the Efficacy of CHX

To maximize the benefits of CHX, several strategies have been explored. One approach is to use CHX in gel form, which provides better contact with canal walls and allows for controlled application. CHX gel is particularly useful in retreatment cases and as an intracanal medicament.

Passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) has also been shown to enhance the penetration and efficacy of CHX by creating acoustic streaming and improving its distribution within the root canal system. PUI can help overcome CHX's limitations in areas with complex anatomy.

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA)

Introduction

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is a chelating agent widely used in endodontics, primarily for removing the smear layer created during mechanical instrumentation. The smear layer is a byproduct of canal preparation and consists of organic and inorganic debris that coats the walls of the root canal. While sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) effectively dissolves the organic portion of the smear layer, it is unable to remove the inorganic component, which is composed of dentin particles and mineralized tissue. EDTA plays a crucial role in addressing this limitation, ensuring proper canal cleanliness and facilitating the penetration of sealers and medicaments into dentinal tubules (Marins et al., 2012). Despite its essential role in irrigation protocols, EDTA has limitations and must be used in conjunction with other irrigants to achieve optimal results.

Mechanism of Action

EDTA works by binding to calcium ions in dentin, breaking the bonds that hold the inorganic smear layer together. This chelation process dissolves the mineralized debris, exposing the underlying dentinal tubules and improving the permeability of the canal walls (Do Prado et al., 2013). By removing the smear layer, EDTA enhances the adhesion of sealers and obturation materials, leading to a more hermetic seal and reducing the risk of reinfection.

Advantages of EDTA

One of the primary benefits of EDTA is its ability to remove the inorganic portion of the smear layer, which is critical for achieving effective canal disinfection and sealing. Its use in irrigation protocols significantly improves the penetration of antimicrobial agents like NaOCl into dentinal tubules, allowing for enhanced bacterial elimination in hard-to-reach areas. Additionally, EDTA is biocompatible when used in low concentrations and does not impair the physical properties of dentin during short application periods.

Limitations of EDTA

While EDTA is highly effective at dissolving inorganic debris, it has no antimicrobial properties. Therefore, it must be used alongside irrigants like NaOCl or chlorhexidine (CHX) to achieve complete canal disinfection. Prolonged exposure to EDTA can cause excessive demineralization of dentin, weakening its mechanical properties and potentially compromising the structural integrity of the tooth (Marins et al., 2012). To mitigate this risk, clinicians typically limit its use to a final rinse lasting no longer than one to two minutes.

Clinical Applications

EDTA is primarily used as a final rinse in root canal therapy to ensure the removal of the smear layer before obturation. Its combination with NaOCl is particularly effective, as each irrigant complements the other's limitations—the NaOCl dissolves organic tissue, while EDTA removes inorganic debris. This synergy ensures a cleaner and more biologically favorable canal environment. EDTA is typically used in concentrations of 15%–17%, although lower concentrations have also been shown to be effective in clinical practice.

Enhancing the Efficacy of EDTA

Passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) has been shown to enhance the smear layer removal capabilities of EDTA by improving its penetration into dentinal tubules and hard-to-reach areas. Additionally, alternating EDTA with NaOCl during irrigation protocols ensures optimal cleaning while minimizing the risk of dentin damage. Careful timing and concentration management are essential to maximize the benefits of EDTA while preserving the structural integrity of the tooth.

Calcium Hydroxide ($Ca(OH)_2$)

Mechanism of Action

Calcium hydroxide is primarily used as an intracanal medicament rather than an irrigant. Its high pH creates an alkaline environment that inhibits bacterial growth and neutralizes endotoxins (Siqueira Jr. et al., 1996).

Advantages

Calcium hydroxide is highly effective against anaerobic bacteria and endotoxins, making it valuable in managing persistent infections. It also promotes hard tissue formation and periapical healing, particularly in cases involving large periapical lesions (Athanassiadis et al., 2007).

Limitations

Calcium hydroxide requires prolonged application to achieve its effects, limiting its use to multi-visit protocols. It also has a limited antimicrobial spectrum compared to NaOCl and CHX (Siqueira Jr. et al., 1996).

Herbal and Natural Irrigants

Overview

Herbal irrigants have gained attention for their biocompatibility and potential antimicrobial properties. Common examples include aloe vera, neem, green tea extract, and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) (Mittal et al., 2021).

Benefits

Herbal irrigants are eco-friendly, non-toxic, and possess anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. Studies have shown that neem and turmeric exhibit significant antimicrobial activity against *E. faecalis*, making them promising alternatives to conventional agents (Teja et al., 2021).

Limitations

The efficacy of herbal irrigants varies widely depending on the preparation method and concentration. Further research is needed to standardize their use and evaluate their long-term effectiveness (Mittal et al., 2021).

Emerging Irrigants

Peracetic Acid (PAA)

Peracetic acid has shown promise as an alternative to NaOCl due to its ability to remove the smear layer and eliminate biofilms while being less cytotoxic. Teixeira et al. (2018) highlighted its potential in cases where NaOCl is contraindicated.

Photodynamic Therapy (PDT)

Photodynamic therapy combines photosensitizers and light to eradicate biofilms and reduce bacterial loads. It is particularly useful in resistant infections and anatomically complex cases (Abu Hasna et al., 2019).

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite advancements, challenges such as cytotoxicity, biofilm resistance, and irrigant compatibility remain. Sodium hypochlorite, while effective, is highly cytotoxic and can cause severe complications if extruded beyond the apex. Biofilms within the root canal system are inherently resistant to both mechanical and chemical methods, necessitating the development of more effective irrigants (Xu et al., 2022).

Future research should focus on developing biocompatible irrigants that combine antimicrobial and tissue-dissolving properties. Innovative delivery systems, such as passive ultrasonic irrigation and laser-activated irrigation, can enhance the effectiveness of existing irrigants by improving their penetration into inaccessible areas (Teja et al., 2021). Additionally, the standardization of herbal irrigants is essential to ensure consistent clinical outcomes.

Conclusion

Endodontic irrigants play a pivotal role in the success of root canal therapy by ensuring effective cleaning, disinfection, and preparation of the root canal system. While sodium hypochlorite remains the gold standard, other agents such as chlorhexidine, EDTA, and herbal alternatives provide complementary benefits. Continued research and technological advancements are needed to address the limitations of current irrigants and explore innovative solutions for improving treatment outcomes. By integrating evidence-based practices and emerging technologies, clinicians can achieve predictable and successful endodontic results.

References

Abu Hasna, A., et al. (2019). Role of photodynamic therapy in endodontics: A review. *Journal of Endodontics*, 45(8), 1012–1020.

Athanassiadis, B., Abbott, P. V., & Walsh, L. J. (2007). The use of calcium hydroxide, antibiotics, and biocides as antimicrobial medicaments in endodontics. *Australian Dental Journal*, 52(S1), S64-S82.

Do Prado, M., et al. (2013). Effects of irrigation protocols on smear layer removal and dentin permeability. *Journal of Endodontics*, 39(4), 432–437.

Jeansonne, M. J., & White, R. R. (1994). A comparison of 2% chlorhexidine gluconate and 5.25% sodium hypochlorite as antimicrobial endodontic irrigants. *Journal of Endodontics*, 20(6), 276–278.

Marins, J. R., et al. (2012). Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of EDTA compared to other irrigants. *International Endodontic Journal*, 45(7), 632–640.

Mittal, M., et al. (2021). Comparative evaluation of herbal irrigants in endodontics: A systematic review. *Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research*, 11(1), 22–29.

Mokhtari, H., et al. (2023). Sodium hypochlorite concentration and postoperative pain in irreversible pulpitis: A systematic review. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 27(3), 785–794.

Schilder, H. (1974). Cleaning and shaping the root canal. *Dental Clinics of North America*, 18(2), 269–296.

Sena, N. T., et al. (2006). Antimicrobial effects of chlorhexidine in combination with other endodontic irrigants. *Journal of Endodontics*, 32(10), 954–958.

Siqueira Jr., J. F., et al. (1996). Mechanisms of antimicrobial activity of calcium hydroxide: A critical review. *International Endodontic Journal*, 29(5), 297–307.

Teja, K. V., et al. (2021). Herbal irrigants in endodontics: A review of their efficacy and applications. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry*, 24(4), 365–371.

Teixeira, C. S., et al. (2018). Peracetic acid as an alternative irrigant in endodontics. *Journal of Endodontics*, 44(8), 1338–1343.

Xu, Y., et al. (2022). Effect of sodium hypochlorite concentration on dentin properties: A systematic review. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 26(5), 1221–1230.