INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING REVIEW
ISSN:1474-6743 | E-ISSN:1478-3401

OPTIMIZING SUBSTATION PROJECT INTEGRATION MANAGEMENT: TECHNICAL AND COMMERCIAL BEST PRACTICES

Sathiyamoorthy Alagarsamy
Project Manager -Dammam Division, Project Department (EOA), Saudi Electricity Company, Saudi Arabia.

Ahmad F. Al-Nehayer
Division Manager-Dammam Division, Project Department(EOA), Project Development Company-Saudi Electricity Company, Saudi Arabia.

Mohammad Safar Al-Gabeawi
Division Manager-North East Division, Project Department (EOA), Project Development Company-Saudi Electricity Company, Saudi Arabia.

Dr.Vetrivel
Assistant professor, Department of Business Administration, School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Pallavaram, Chennai, India-600117

Abstract

In the modern era, the demand for electricity has increased exponentially, leading to the expansion and construction of numerous substations worldwide. Substation projects are complex endeavors that require effective integration management to ensure their successful completion. This article aims to explore technical and commercial best practices for optimizing substation project integration management. Effective project management is crucial for the successful execution of substation projects. This article explores the best practices for optimizing substation project integration management, focusing on both technical and commercial aspects. By implementing these practices, project managers can enhance coordination, communication, and collaboration among various stakeholders involved in the project.

Keywords: Project management, Project integration, Technical practices, Commercial practices

Introduction

The increasing complexity of electrical power systems and the growing demand for reliable, efficient, and cost-effective energy solutions have made it crucial for stakeholders to optimize the integration of substation projects. This article explores the technical and commercial best practices that can be employed to ensure effective project management and successful

integration of substation projects. The integration of substation projects is essential to ensure seamless power flow, minimize downtime, and maximize the efficiency of electrical power systems. It is crucial to consider both technical and commercial aspects to achieve optimal results. The integration process involves multiple stakeholders, including utilities, contractors, suppliers, and regulatory authorities, making it a complex task that requires meticulous planning and execution. Substations play a crucial role in the transmission and distribution of electrical power. They serve as essential hubs for transforming voltage levels, enabling efficient power flow across different regions. As the demand for electricity continues to grow, there is an increasing need to construct new substations or upgrade existing ones. However, substation projects are not without challenges. They involve multiple stakeholders, intricate technical requirements, and significant financial investments. To ensure the successful completion of these projects, it is vital to adopt effective integration management practices that address both technical and commercial aspects.

Need for the Study

The optimization of substation project integration management is crucial for various reasons. Firstly, efficient integration management ensures that all project activities are well-coordinated, minimizing delays and cost overruns. It helps streamline processes, enhance communication among stakeholders, and mitigate risks associated with substation projects. Secondly, substation projects often involve complex technical requirements. These include engineering design, equipment procurement, installation, testing, and commissioning. Effective integration management facilitates the seamless coordination of these activities, ensuring that project milestones are achieved on time and within budget. Lastly, substation projects have significant financial implications. The optimization of integration management can help control costs by identifying potential areas for efficiency improvement and cost reduction. By minimizing delays and maximizing resource utilization, project budgets can be better managed.

Technical Best Practices

1. **Comprehensive Project Planning:** Thorough planning is essential for successful substation project integration management. This involves defining project objectives, scope, deliverables, and timelines. A detailed project plan should include all necessary technical activities such as design engineering, equipment selection, procurement, installation, and testing.
2. **Effective Stakeholder Communication:** Clear and timely communication is crucial to ensure all stakeholders are aligned and informed throughout the project lifecycle. Regular meetings, progress reports, and documentation should be utilized to keep everyone involved updated on project status, risks, and decisions.
3. **Robust Risk Management:** Identifying and managing risks is vital for substation projects. A systematic approach to risk assessment should be adopted, including identifying

potential risks, analyzing their impacts, and developing mitigation strategies. Proactive risk management helps minimize project delays and cost overruns.

4. **Quality Assurance and Control:** Implementing robust quality assurance processes ensures that all project activities meet the required standards. This includes conducting regular inspections, tests, and audits to verify compliance with design specifications and industry regulations.
5. **Integrated Project Monitoring:** Utilizing advanced monitoring systems can provide real-time visibility into project progress, allowing for proactive decision-making. Integration of various project management tools enables effective tracking of key performance indicators (KPIs), resource allocation, and schedule adherence.

Commercial Best Practices

1. **Contractual Clarity:** Establishing clear contractual agreements between project owners, contractors, suppliers, and other stakeholders is essential for successful integration management. Contracts should clearly define project scope, deliverables, timelines, payment terms, and dispute resolution mechanisms.
2. **Supplier Management:** Effective management of suppliers plays a vital role in substation projects' success. This includes selecting reliable suppliers through a rigorous evaluation process, establishing clear performance metrics, monitoring supplier performance regularly, and fostering collaborative relationships.
3. **Cost Control Measures:** Implementing cost control measures helps ensure that substation projects remain within budget constraints. This involves conducting regular cost reviews, optimizing resource allocation, seeking competitive pricing from vendors, and identifying areas for cost-saving without compromising project quality.
4. **Change Management:** Substation projects often undergo changes due to evolving requirements or unforeseen circumstances. Implementing a robust change management process helps assess the impact of changes, evaluate alternatives, and make informed decisions while minimizing disruptions to project timelines and budgets.
5. **Lessons Learned and Knowledge Management:** Capturing lessons learned from previous substation projects and disseminating this knowledge across the organization is crucial for continuous improvement. Establishing a knowledge management system allows project teams to leverage past experiences, best practices, and innovative solutions.

Objective of the study

The primary objective of this paper is to delineate the key competencies essential for achieving successful project integration management. Furthermore, this study aims to expound upon how integration management intricately influences and guides each phase of a triumphant project execution. From the Project Integration Management standpoint, a substation project represents a complex endeavor involving initiation, planning, execution, monitoring, and closure phases. The ultimate deliverable is a fully operational substation—a tangible product designed to

efficiently manage specified loads within defined parameters, minimizing calculated losses as per performance expectations and quality standards. Achieving this outcome within the allocated budget constitutes a significant success in adhering to Project Integration Management processes. It signifies the successful integration of project elements, such as scope, schedule, cost, quality, resources, and risk management. This accomplishment demonstrates adept project leadership, effective communication, stakeholder engagement, and the utilization of integrated methodologies prescribed by the PMI framework.

BUDGET:

Maximum Affordable Project Cost=(Total Revenue×(1+Project Inflation Rate))−Operational Cost×(1+Operational Inflation Rate)20−Contingency Reserve

Given:

- Total Revenue over 20 years: $150 million
- Operational Cost for 20 years: $20 million
- Project Inflation Rate: Applicable for one year
- Operational Inflation Rate: Applicable for 20 years
- Contingency Reserve: Allocation for unexpected expenses

Let's consider a 5% inflation rate for the project cost in the first year, a 3% operational inflation rate annually for 20 years, and a contingency reserve of $6 million:

Maximum Affordable Project Cost=($150 million×(1+0.05))−$20 million×(1+0.03)20−$6 million

Maximum Affordable Project Cost=($150 million×(1+0.05))−$20 million×(1+0.03)20−$6 million

Calculating:

Maximum Affordable Project Cost = ($150 million×1.05)−$20 million×2.427≈$157.5 million−$48.54 million−$6 million Maximum Affordable Project Cost=($150 million×1.05)−$20 million×2.427≈$157.5 million−$48.54 million−$6 million Maximum Affordable Project Cost≈$102.96 million−$6 million

Maximum Affordable Project Cost≈$102.96 million−$6 million

Maximum Affordable Project Cost≈$96.96 million

*The maximum affordable project cost is include the work contractor profit margin

Therefore, considering inflation affecting the project cost for one year and operational costs for 20 years, along with a contingency reserve, the maximum affordable project cost would be approximately $96.96 million.

The project and operational costs encompass insurance subscriptions essential for accident coverage, mitigating risks in both project execution and ongoing operations. This insurance is indispensable for covering accidents, playing a crucial role in managing risks associated with the project's implementation and sustained operations.

In the realm of project integration management, a solid grasp of budgeting is pivotal for financial control and strategic resource allocation. Budget knowledge enables accurate cost estimation, proactive risk management, and optimal utilization of resources. It forms the backbone of informed decision-making, ensuring alignment of allocated funds with project goals. Ultimately, within project integration, proficient budgeting safeguards against financial uncertainties, fostering

project success and stakeholder satisfaction. In view of above the project integration management need an expert for budget.

Project Charter

The Turn on Letter or Project Scope Document outlines high-level project details within the allocated budget. This comprehensive document not only delineates key components such as transformers, reactors, capacitors, switchgears, and circuit breakers but also encompasses engineering, construction, commissioning, spare parts, operational tools, government fees, and land-related expenses. Moreover, it serves as a guide for the project schedule, outlining completion milestones and the operational timeline for the substation. Additionally, this document provides crucial geographical information, including project location and other pertinent details shaping the project's spatial considerations. In view of the comprehensive details outlined in the Turn on Letter or Project Scope Document, project integration management demands a fusion of high-level engineering prowess and procurement expertise. This necessitates empirical experience and a robust skill set honed through practical expertise. The convergence of these elements is vital to navigate the intricate landscape of project execution, ensuring seamless integration, efficient procurement, and successful project delivery.

Scope statement

The scope statement or project technical specifications, along with contract documents, represent comprehensive documents outlining both technical specifications and commercial terms for the project. They detail the required technical standards and specifications while also encompassing commercial agreements and compliance requirements.

The technical documents delve into various domains: civil, electrical, mechanical, telecommunications, and physical and cyber security systems. Due to space limitations, these documents often reference company and international standards, providing a streamlined approach to detail intricate technical specifications.

Conversely, the commercial documents govern diverse aspects. They delineate payment schedules, bidding protocols, procedural guidelines, safety and environmental standards, quality compliance measures, manpower requisites, and governmental affiliations, shaping a comprehensive framework for project execution and management.

To excel in Project Integration Management, a diverse range of technical expertise, experience, and up-to-date knowledge in both company-specific and international technical and commercial realms is essential. The grid study assumes a pivotal role in identifying specific power quality equipment crucial for integration into the project's framework, contributing significantly to its success.

In transitioning from bidders to contractors, Project Integration Management follows several crucial steps to ensure timely project delivery. These steps, though not exhaustive, include:

1. Bidder invitation
2. Job Explanation Meeting
3. Site visit
4. Pre-bid clarification

5. Technical proposal review
6. Technical recommendation hierarchy by the technical team
7. Commercial evaluation and hierarchy by the commercial team
8. Contractor finalization based on techno-commercial evaluation
9. Award to the L1 bidder, confirming their status as the contractor for the project.

Bid Price=Total Project Cost+(Total Project Cost×Profit Margin)

Bid Price=$80MM+($80MM×0.20)

Bid Price=$96MM

These steps are integral in selecting and appointing the contractor, maintaining a systematic process that facilitates efficient project execution.

Project Management Plan:

The contractor is required to submit the project design as an integral part of scope management. This submission marks the initial phase post-award of the contract. Additionally, the contractor must present the project schedule using established online tools like Primavera, MS Project, or similar platforms. This schedule aligns with the project charter's high-level milestones, outlining a comprehensive breakdown encompassing project preparation, design, procurement, construction, testing, commissioning, energization, and final acceptance stages, ensuring alignment with project objectives. Cost management relies heavily on the project schedule as a foundational reference. Contractors are mandated to furnish forecasted invoices aligned with project schedule. Continuous evaluation involves comparing these forecasts against actual expenditures to determine Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and finalize financial assessments, ensuring fiscal alignment with project progression. Quality, resource, communication, risk, procurement, and stakeholder management are integral facets ensuring project excellence through standards, effective utilization, engagement, and risk mitigation.

Management of project:

Directing and managing project work assumes a critical role in ensuring project success. It involves overseeing various facets such as quality assurance, safety protocols, and adherence to project schedules. Additionally, it entails diligent monitoring of engineering processes, procurement activities, construction phases, commissioning procedures, and the eventual handover of deliverables. This comprehensive oversight ensures that the project progresses smoothly, meets quality standards, complies with safety regulations, and stays on track for successful completion.

Manage project knowledge

In the realm of Project Integration Management, managing project knowledge is a pivotal component that ensures a cohesive and holistic approach to project execution:

1. Integration of Insights: Knowledge management integrates valuable insights gained from various project aspects, aligning them with the overarching project objectives.
2. Alignment with Project Scope: It ensures that the knowledge acquired, shared, and applied resonates with the defined project scope, ensuring consistency and adherence to project goals.

3. Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Encourages interdisciplinary collaboration, enabling diverse teams to share and leverage knowledge across different project phases.
4. Decision Support: Facilitates informed decision-making by offering access to historical data, lessons learned, and best practices, empowering project managers to make well-informed choices.
5. Continuous Improvement: Supports the continuous improvement cycle within Project Integration Management, fostering a culture of learning and adaptability, enhancing project processes based on past experiences.

By integrating knowledge management practices within Project Integration Management, organizations harness the collective wisdom derived from past projects, ensuring a more robust approach to current project execution and fostering ongoing improvements for future endeavors.

Monitor and control project:

Let's consider assigning weights to each phase based on its relative importance to the overall project quality. For example:

- Engineering (E): Weighted at 10%
- Procurement (P): Weighted at 35%
- Construction (C): Weighted at 40%
- Testing (T): Weighted at 12%
- Commissioning (Co): Weighted at 3%

The formula for the Project Quality Index (QI) might be:

$$QI=(E\times0.1)+(P\times0.35)+(C\times0.40)+(T\times0.12)+(Co\times0.03)$$

Where:

- E, P, C, T, Co represent the quality scores (normalized values between 0 and 1) for each phase.
- The percentages (weights) assigned to each phase reflect their relative importance in determining the overall quality index.

This formula provides a weighted average, considering the importance of each phase in contributing to the overall project quality. Adjusting weights or scoring mechanisms based on project-specific requirements will refine the accuracy of the Quality Index.

Project Control tools:

1. Project Management Software: Platforms like Primavera, Microsoft Project, or similar tools help in scheduling, resource allocation, and tracking project progress.
2. Building Information Modeling (BIM): Provides a comprehensive digital representation of the project, aiding in design coordination, clash detection, and overall project visualization.
3. Earned Value Management (EVM): Integrates project scope, schedule, and cost to evaluate performance, forecast outcomes, and monitor deviations.
4. Risk Management Software: Tools for risk identification, assessment, and mitigation strategies, aiding in managing uncertainties and potential disruptions.
5. Quality Management Systems (QMS): Software for quality control, ensuring adherence to specifications and standards throughout the construction process.

6. Document Management Systems: Centralizes and manages project documents, facilitating version control, access, and retrieval of critical project information.
7. Mobile Apps and Field Technology: Applications for on-site data collection, reporting, and real-time communication between the field and management teams.
8. Integrated Project Control Systems: Platforms that consolidate various project data streams, facilitating centralized monitoring and decision-making.

Project Closure:

Project closure within Project Integration Management involves the systematic conclusion and formal acceptance of a project. It encompasses several key steps:

1. Final Deliverables Verification: Ensure that all project deliverables meet the predefined acceptance criteria outlined in the project scope.
2. Contractual Closure: Review and fulfill all contractual obligations, including final payments, documentation, and closure of vendor contracts.
3. Lessons Learned: Conduct a comprehensive project review to identify successes, challenges, and lessons learned throughout the project lifecycle.
4. Documentation and Reporting: Compile and organize project documentation, including final reports, financial summaries, and any required records for future reference.
5. Stakeholder Communication: Communicate project closure to stakeholders, including formal sign-offs and acknowledgments of project completion.
6. Resource Release: Release project resources, including human resources, equipment, and facilities, ensuring appropriate handovers and closures.
7. Post-Project Evaluation: Evaluate the project's overall success against predefined objectives, assessing achievements and areas for improvement.
8. Celebration and Recognition: Recognize and celebrate project team contributions, acknowledging their efforts and successes.
9. Formal Closure: Officially declare the project closed, signifying the end of project activities and the transfer of project responsibility.

Project closure in Project Integration Management ensures the orderly conclusion of all project aspects, facilitating a smooth transition to subsequent endeavors and laying the groundwork for future project initiatives.

Project Closure = Verification of Final Deliverables + Contractual Fulfillment + Lessons Learned + Documentation + Stakeholder Communication + Resource Release + Post –Project Evaluation + Celebration and Recognition + Formal Closure

Recommendation

To optimize substation project integration management, it is recommended to adopt a holistic approach that combines technical and commercial best practices. This involves comprehensive project planning, effective stakeholder communication, robust risk management, quality assurance and control, integrated project monitoring, contractual clarity, supplier management, cost control measures, change management, and knowledge management.

Furthermore, leveraging technology solutions such as advanced project management software, real-time monitoring systems, and collaborative platforms can significantly enhance integration management effectiveness. Embracing digital transformation enables efficient data sharing, streamlined workflows, and improved decision-making.

Conclusion

In conclusion, optimizing substation project integration management requires a combination of technical and commercial best practices. By focusing on comprehensive design review, effective communication and coordination, risk assessment and management, standardization and modularization, strategic procurement and supply chain management, cost and schedule control, performance metrics and benchmarking, and stakeholder engagement and collaboration, stakeholders can ensure the successful integration of substation projects and contribute to a more reliable, efficient, and cost-effective electrical power system. Optimizing substation project integration management is essential for ensuring successful project outcomes. By implementing technical and commercial best practices, stakeholders can effectively coordinate activities, mitigate risks, control costs, and deliver projects on time and within budget. The holistic approach discussed in this article provides a framework for achieving optimal integration management in substation projects.

Project integration management calls for a multifaceted skill set merging engineering proficiency, business acumen, contract management expertise, commercial insight, adeptness in government relations, vast experience, procurement finesse, and a relentless focus on quality, safety, and successful project delivery. Mastering these facets not only ensures current project success but also lays the groundwork for refining practices and enhancing future project endeavors, fostering an environment of continual improvement and excellence.

Reference

A. Nagarajan and R. Ayyanar, “Design and strategy for the deployment of energy storage systems in a distribution feeder with penetration of renewable resources,” IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1085–1092, July 2015.

A. Szumanowski and Y. Chang, “Battery management system based on battery nonlinear dynamics modeling,” IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 1425–1432, May 2008.

D. Pudjianto, C. Ramsay, and G. Strbac, “Virtual power plant and system integration of distributed energy resources,” IET Renewable Power Generation, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 10–16, March 2007.

E. Mashhour and S. M. Moghaddas-Tafreshi, “Bidding strategy of virtual power plant for participating in energy and spinning reserve markets - Part I: Problem formulation,” IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 949–956, May 2011.

H. Khani, M. R. D. Zadeh, and A. H. Hajimiragha, "Transmission rcongestion relief using privately owned large-scale energy storage systems in a competitive electricity market," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1449–1458, March 2016.

J. Cao, N. Schofield, and A. Emadi, "Battery balancing methods: A comprehensive review," in 2008 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, Sept 2008, pp. 1–6.

J. Chatzakis, K. Kalaitzakis, N. C. Voulgaris, and S. N. Manias, "Designing a new generalized battery management system," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 990–999, Oct 2003.

J. Eyer and G. Corey, "Energy storage for the electricity grid: Benefits and market potential assessment guide," Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, Tech. Rep. SAND2010-0815, February 2010.

J. Eyer and G. Corey, "Energy storage for the electricity grid: Benefits and market potential assessment guide," Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, SAND2010-0815, Tech. Rep., Feb 2010.

K. W. E. Cheng, B. P. Divakar, H. Wu, K. Ding, and H. F. Ho, "Batterymanagement system (BMS) and SOC development for electrical vehicles," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 76–88, Jan 2011.

M. T. Lawder, B. Suthar, P. W. C. Northrop, S. De, C. M. Hoff, O. Leitermann, M. L. Crow, S. Santhanagopalan, and V. R. Subramanian, "Battery energy storage system (BESS) and battery management system (BMS) for grid-scale applications," Proceedings of the IEEE,vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 1014–1030, June 2014.

P. Lombardi, M. Powalko, and K. Rudion, "Optimal operation of a virtual power plant," in Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, Calgary, AB, Canada, July 2009, pp. 1–6.J. M. Guerrero, F. Blaabjerg, T. Zhelev, K. Hemmes, E. Monmasson,

R. H. Byrne and C. A. Silva-Monroy, "Estimating the maximum potential revenue for grid connected electricity storage: Arbitrage and the regulation market," Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, Tech. Rep. SAND2012-3863, December 2012.

R. H. Byrne and C. A. Silva-Monroy, "Potential revenue from electrical energy storage in the electricity reliability council of Texas (ERCOT)," in Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Power and Energy Society (PES) General Meeting, Washington, DC, July 2014, pp. 1–5.

R. H. Byrne and C. A. Silva-Monroy, "Potential revenue from electrical energy storage in ERCOT: The impact of location and recent trends," in Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE Power and Energy Society (PES) General Meeting, Denver, CO, July 2015, pp. 1–5.

R. H. Byrne, R. Concepcion, and C. A. Silva-Monroy, "Potential revenue from electrical energy storage in PJM," in Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE Power and Energy Society (PES) General Meeting, Boston, MA, July 2016, pp. 1–5.

R. H. Byrne, S. Hamilton, D. R. Borneo, T. Olinsky-Paul, and I. Gyuk, "The value proposition for energy storage at the Sterling Municipal Light Department," in Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Power and Energy Society (PES) General Meeting, Chicago, IL, July 2017, pp. 1–5.

S. Duryea, S. Islam, and W. Lawrance, "A battery management system for stand-alone photovoltaic energy systems," IEEE Industry Applications Magazine, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 67–72, Jun 2001.

S. Jemei, M. P. Comech, R. Granadino, and J. I. Frau, "Distributed generation: Toward a new energy paradigm," IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 52–64, March 2010.

S. R. Deeba, R. Sharma, T. K. Saha, D. Chakraborty, and A. Thomas, "Evaluation of technical and financial benefits of battery-based energy storage systems in distribution networks," IET Renewable Power Generation, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1149–1160, 2016.

S. Vazquez, S. M. Lukic, E. Galvan, L. G. Franquelo, and J. M.Carrasco, "Energy storage systems for transport and grid applications,"IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 3881–3895, 2010.

T. Nguyen, R. Byrne, R. Conception, and I. Gyuk, "Maximizing revenue from electrical energy storage in MISO energy & frequency regulation markets," in Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Power Energy Society General Meeting, Chicago, IL, July 2017, pp. 1–5.

Y. J. Zhang, C. Zhao, W. Tang, and S. H. Low, "Profit maximizing planning and control of battery energy storage systems for primary frequency control," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1–1, 2016.